

May 14, 1975

that he might bring in an amendment that would preclude the regular \$10 and I would have no serious objection to it but I think that it would probably take more time for the Natural Resource District to sort out those items and retype it than to just publish the original financial statement.

SENATOR KREMER: OK thank you. I did say that that was my last question. I do have one more just to be sure that I understand your answer to Senator Dickinson. That you are leaving it with the Board of Directors as to which newspapers that they shall choose only that they are quite sure that it should cover the entire area.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator Kremer, Mr. Orton wanted me to be sure that that is in the record. It is now in the record that I leave it to the discretion of the board. If the Board feels that one is adequate and they can satisfy the local people to that extent at this time I have no quams. If at a future date we find that that is not adequate, then we want to come back to this body and ask for more specific language.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you Senator.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: Just a statement and a question. I received two letters so far from my Board. They indicate to me that they don't need this as they already give this break down to the World Herald, Sun Newspapers, and the TV and Radios up there. I guess of course that none of them print it of course. It is given for public consumption. Now my question is why I am inclined to agree with Senator Kremer. Why do we have to go, since it is already made available to anybody that wants it, where I live, why do we have to pay and take money from projects to advertise in the newspapers, are we working for the projects or the newspapers. Senator Nichol says the newspapers.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator Syas, I think that if you twist my tail long enough you are going to make me mad you know. You stand here this morning and you plead for money for recreation to be spend on the Papio. I support you on many of those ideas. I contend that the cost of publication is a minimal cost and there has not been one shread of evidence that is going to handicap the NRD's. If you study this document here you can find time after time after time plenty of evidence if we want to look at it from that point of view what other costs might have been reduced. You say that they are available, and you and I both know that these figures are available and you know that the only way that the public is aware of them is if you specifically publish them. The committee asks for these documents and I could have.... I would have preferred not to have done this, but I am going to repeat now, and I'm going to paraphrase the replies that the committee received when we asked for these financial reports just a few months ago. The jest of it was this. If